UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,230	11/25/2003	Eugene F. Giszczynski	2376.2142-001	6692	
57690 7590 06/08/2010 HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			EXAMINER		
			ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN		
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2465		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/08/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/722,230	GISZCZYNSKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BO HUI A. ZHU	2465		

	BO HUI A. ZHU	2465	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the properties of the properties</li></ul>	sideration and/or search (see NOī v); er form for appeal by materially red	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	·		·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 18-38.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Jayanti K. Patel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2465	/B. A. Z./ Examiner, Art Unit 2465		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. More specifically, Applicant argues that Gruber does not teach originating a second trace cell from an intermediate node on the virtual path and monitoring for the second trace cell at the source node on the virtual path (Remarks, page 2 and 3). Examiner respectfully disagrees. Gruber teaches this limitation, for example, in Fig. 3, each intermediate node (node B, C, D) can be viewed as generating a new trace cell based on the cell it receives and pass the new cell the along the virtual path to the next node and the source node (node A) monitors for the loopback cell. Fig 6 also shows an example of each intermediate node generating a new cell based on the cell it receives from a previous node and the source node monitoring for the loopback cell of the trace cell.